

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

PAT CASON-MERENDA and JEFFREY A.  
SUHRE on behalf of themselves and others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DETROIT MEDICAL CENTER, HENRY  
FORD HEALTH SYSTEM, MOUNT  
CLEMENS GENERAL HOSPITAL, INC., ST.  
JOHN'S HEALTH, OAKWOOD  
HEALTHCARE, INC., BON SECOURS  
COTTAGE HEALTH SERVICES, WILLIAM  
BEAUMONT HOSPITAL d.b.a. BEAUMONT  
HOSPITALS, and TRINITY HEALTH CORP.,

Defendants.

Case No. 06-15601

Hon. Gerald E. Rosen

Magistrate: Donald A. Scheer

**ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL  
OF SETTLEMENT WITH BEAUMONT  
AND AUTHORIZING THE DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE**

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement with William Beaumont Hospital and after hearing from the parties to those settlements at a hearing on March 1, 2013, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED**.
2. The Court finds that the settlement set forth in the Class Settlement Agreement And Release ("Settlement Agreement") between Plaintiffs Pat Cason-Merenda and Jeffrey A. Suhre, (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as representatives of a putative class of similarly situated registered nurses (as defined in paragraph 3 below), and Defendant William Beaumont Hospital ("Beaumont"), attached as Exhibit A to the

Declaration of Mark A. Griffin in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Beaumont and Mount Clemens Settlement (“Griffin Declaration”), subject to final determination following proper notice and a fairness hearing, is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to authorize dissemination of notice to the proposed Class.

3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in light of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the Court hereby preliminarily finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and certifies the following settlement class (the “Beaumont Settlement Class”):

All persons employed by any Defendant to work in a short-term acute care facility in the Detroit, Michigan Metropolitan Statistical Area as a Registered Nurse (“RN”), exclusive of supervisory, managerial or advanced practice nurses, at any time from December 12, 2002 through June 15, 2007.

4. The Court finds that the certification of the Beaumont Settlement Class is warranted for settlement purposes only because (a) the class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) Plaintiffs’ claims present common issues and are typical of the Class; (c) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) in light of the settlement, common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Beaumont Settlement Class. The Court further finds that Plaintiffs’ interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Beaumont Settlement Class. The Court also finds settlement of this action, with respect to Beaumont, on a class basis is superior to other means of resolving this matter.

5. The Court appoints the firms of Keller Rohrback L.L.P., Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC, and James & Hoffman, P.C. as Class Counsel for the Beaumont Settlement Class and appoints Pat Cason-Merenda and Jeffrey A. Suhre as Class Representatives for the Beaumont Settlement Class.

6. The Court approves the retention of Rust Consulting, Inc. to serve as Settlement Administrator.

7. Notice shall be provided to members of the Beaumont Settlement Class. The Court approves the form of the Legal Notice of Class Action Settlement (the “Notice”) attached as Exhibit B to the Griffin Declaration upon the condition that the content of the Notice, including all dates, times, and addresses, substantially conforms to the requirements of this Order. The Court further finds that the mailing of the Notice in the manner set forth in paragraph 12 below constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, as well as valid, due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto and comply fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the due process requirements of the United States Constitution.

8. Plaintiffs shall cause the Notice, substantially in the form of Exhibit B to the Griffin Declaration, to be mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, to all members of the Settlement Classes as identified by Defendants. Notice shall be mailed on a date to be determined by the Court after the Court has had occasion to consider preliminary approval of any other settlements. If no motion for preliminary approval of any such settlements has been filed within 45 days of the date of this Order, any settling party may petition this Court to set a date certain for the mailing of Notice.

9. Plaintiffs shall identify and maintain a mailing address to receive requests from parties seeking to exclude themselves from the Beaumont Settlement Class. Plaintiffs must identify the address in the Notice prior to mailing any Notice pursuant to paragraph 12.

10. The Court will hold a hearing on final approval (the “Hearing”) at the Theodore Levin United States Courthouse, Room 733, 231 W. Lafayette Blvd., Detroit, MI, 48226, to determine the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the proposed settlement and whether the settlement should be finally approved and a final judgment entered thereon. The date of this hearing will be announced prior to the date for mailing of Notice. Any member of the Settlement Class who follows the procedure set forth in the Notice may appear and be heard at this hearing. The hearing may be continued without further notice to the Settlement Class.

11. Plaintiffs shall file with the Court and serve their motion for final approval of the settlement no later than 65 calendar days following the date of mailing of the Notice.

12. All requests for exclusion from the Beaumont Settlement Class shall be postmarked no later than 40 calendar days following the date of mailing of the Notice, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

13. Any member of the Beaumont Settlement Class who wishes to object to the terms of a settlement must do so in a writing filed with the Court and served on Class Counsel and counsel for the appropriate settling defendant(s) no later than 20 calendar days prior to the Hearing, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

14. No later than ten calendar days before the date fixed by this Court for the Hearing, Class Counsel may file a response with the Court to any objections submitted pursuant to Paragraph 17 above.

15. Ten calendar days before the date fixed by this Court for the Hearing, Class Counsel shall file with the Court affidavits or declarations of the person under whose general direction the mailing of the Notice was made showing that mailing was made in accordance with this order.

16. After the date of mailing of the Notice and within twenty-five (25) business days thereof, the Plaintiffs shall establish, place on line, and maintain a web site on the internet containing the documents and information set forth in the Settlement Agreements.

17. Class Counsel is authorized to pay the cost of providing notice to the Beaumont Settlement Class, taxes, tax expenses, and charges of the escrow agent from the Settlement Fund as they are incurred.

18. The litigation against Beaumont is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement.

DATED this 12th day of March, 2013.

s/Gerald E. Rosen

Honorable Gerald E. Rosen

United States District Court Judge

Presented by:  
KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By /s/Mark Griffin  
Mark A. Griffin  
Raymond J. Farrow  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel : 206-623-1900  
Fax : 206-623-3384

Attorneys for Plaintiffs